THE GENERAL PLANE QUARTIC IS DETERMINED BY ITS FLEX LINES

M. Pacini with A.Abreu and D.Testa

Universidade Federal Fluminense (UFF) Brazil

EGBI - Salvador - July 2012



Formulation of the problem

GBI = SALVADOR = JULY 2012 <math>2 / 2 / 15

Formulation of the problem

• Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex plane quartic.

- Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex plane quartic.
- If C is smooth, then it has 28 bitangents and 24 flex lines (counted with multiplicity).



- Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex plane quartic.
- If C is smooth, then it has 28 bitangents and 24 flex lines (counted with multiplicity).
- Which linear data (the 28 bitangents, the 24 flex lines) determine *C*?

- Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex plane quartic.
- If C is smooth, then it has 28 bitangents and 24 flex lines (counted with multiplicity).
- Which linear data (the 28 bitangents, the 24 flex lines) determine *C*?

THEOREM (CAPORASO-SERNESI, 2003)

Let C be a **general** smooth plane quartic defined over \mathbb{C} . Then C is determined by its bitangents.

 $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{GBI}}^{\ast} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{Salvador}}^{\ast} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{July}}^{\ast} = \mathbb{E}$

THEOREM (LEHAVI, 2005)

Let C be a smooth plane quartic defined over \mathbb{C} . Then C is determined by its bitangents.

THEOREM (LEHAVI, 2005)

Let C be a smooth plane quartic defined over \mathbb{C} . Then C is determined by its bitangents.

• **Question**: is it possible to reconstruct a degree *d* smooth complex plane curve from its flex lines?

THEOREM (LEHAVI, 2005)

Let C be a smooth plane quartic defined over \mathbb{C} . Then C is determined by its bitangents.

• **Question**: is it possible to reconstruct a degree *d* smooth complex plane curve from its flex lines?

EGBI - SALVADOR - JULY 2012

THEOREM (P.-TESTA, 2011)

Let C be a smooth plane curve of degree d defined over \mathbb{C} .

Theorem (Lehavi, 2005)

Let C be a smooth plane quartic defined over \mathbb{C} . Then C is determined by its bitangents.

• **Question**: is it possible to reconstruct a degree *d* smooth complex plane curve from its flex lines?

EGBI - SALVADOR - JULY 2012

THEOREM (P.-TESTA, 2011)

Let C be a smooth plane curve of degree d defined over \mathbb{C} . (i) If d = 3, then C is determined by its 9 flex lines.

Theorem (Lehavi, 2005)

Let C be a smooth plane quartic defined over \mathbb{C} . Then C is determined by its bitangents.

• **Question**: is it possible to reconstruct a degree *d* smooth complex plane curve from its flex lines?

THEOREM (P.-TESTA, 2011)

Let C be a smooth plane curve of degree d defined over \mathbb{C} . (i) If d = 3, then C is determined by its 9 flex lines. (ii) If d = 4 and C is general, then C is determined by its 24 flex lines and one flex point.

EGBI – Salvador – July 2012

Formulation of the problem

 $\stackrel{\triangleleft}{=} \stackrel{\triangleleft}{\operatorname{EGBI}} \stackrel{\triangleleft}{=} \stackrel{\triangleleft}{\operatorname{Salvador}} \stackrel{\triangleleft}{=} \stackrel{\triangleleft}{\operatorname{July}} \stackrel{\triangleleft}{\overline{2012}} \stackrel{\checkmark}{\xrightarrow{}} \stackrel{\triangleleft}{4} / \stackrel{1}{15}$

• **Question**: is it possible to reconstruct the general smooth plane quartic only from its flex lines?

- **Question**: is it possible to reconstruct the general smooth plane quartic only from its flex lines?
- Consider the rational map

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$$

EGBI – Salvador – July 2012 0 € /

- **Question**: is it possible to reconstruct the general smooth plane quartic only from its flex lines?
- Consider the rational map

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$$

sending a smooth plane quartic C to its configuration of flex lines $\mathcal{F}I(C)$.

- **Question**: is it possible to reconstruct the general smooth plane quartic only from its flex lines?
- Consider the rational map

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$$

EGBI - SALVADOR - JULY 2012

sending a smooth plane quartic C to its configuration of flex lines $\mathcal{F}I(C)$. Is \mathcal{F} generically injective?

- **Question**: is it possible to reconstruct the general smooth plane quartic only from its flex lines?
- Consider the rational map

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$$

sending a smooth plane quartic C to its configuration of flex lines $\mathcal{F}I(C)$. Is \mathcal{F} generically injective?

• Our strategy follows a degenerative argument.

 $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \mathbf{EGBI} \\ - \\ \mathbf{SALVADOR} \\ - \\ \mathbf{JULY} \\ \hline \mathbf{2012} \\ \hline \mathbf{5} \\ 15 \end{array}$

• Let F be the Fermat quartic $F: x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = 0$.



• Let F be the Fermat quartic $F: x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = 0$.

PROPOSITION

If $\mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(F)$, for some smooth plane quartic C, then C = F.



• Let F be the Fermat quartic
$$F: x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = 0$$
.

PROPOSITION

If $\mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(F)$, for some smooth plane quartic C, then C = F.

Idea of the proof:



• Let F be the Fermat quartic
$$F: x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = 0$$
.

PROPOSITION

If $\mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(F)$, for some smooth plane quartic C, then C = F.

Idea of the proof: If $\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}}_{[\alpha,\beta,\gamma]}$, one proves that

$$\mathcal{F}I(F) = V(\alpha\beta\gamma, \alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4).$$

EGBI – SALVADOR – JULY $2012 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{15}$

• Let F be the Fermat quartic
$$F: x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = 0$$
.

PROPOSITION

If $\mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(F)$, for some smooth plane quartic C, then C = F.

Idea of the proof: If $\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}}_{[\alpha,\beta,\gamma]}$, one proves that

$$\mathcal{F}I(F) = V(\alpha\beta\gamma, \alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4).$$

Up to scalars, $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is the unique polynomial of degree 3 vanishing on $\mathcal{F}l(F)$.

• Let F be the Fermat quartic
$$F: x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = 0$$
.

PROPOSITION

If $\mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(F)$, for some smooth plane quartic C, then C = F.

Idea of the proof: If $\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}}_{[\alpha,\beta,\gamma]}$, one proves that

$$\mathcal{F}I(F) = V(\alpha\beta\gamma, \alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4).$$

Up to scalars, $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is the unique polynomial of degree 3 vanishing on $\mathcal{F}I(F)$. Thus

 $Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)) < \{ \sigma \in PGL_3(\mathbb{C}) : \sigma \text{ has a non-zero entry } \}$

in each row and column}.

 \mathbb{C}^{\ast} = $\mathbb{C$

Up to scalars, $\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4$ is the unique polynomial of degree 4 vanishing on $\mathcal{F}I(\mathcal{F})$.



Up to scalars, $\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4$ is the unique polynomial of degree 4 vanishing on $\mathcal{F}I(F)$. Thus $Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)) \subseteq Aut(F)$ and hence

Up to scalars, $\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4$ is the unique polynomial of degree 4 vanishing on $\mathcal{F}I(F)$. Thus $Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)) \subseteq Aut(F)$ and hence

 $Aut(F) = Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)).$



Up to scalars, $\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4$ is the unique polynomial of degree 4 vanishing on $\mathcal{F}I(F)$. Thus $Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)) \subseteq Aut(F)$ and hence

 $Aut(F) = Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)).$

Assume that $\mathcal{F}I(F) = \mathcal{F}I(C)$, with C smooth and $C \neq F$.



Up to scalars, $\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4$ is the unique polynomial of degree 4 vanishing on $\mathcal{F}I(F)$. Thus $Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)) \subseteq Aut(F)$ and hence

 $Aut(F) = Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)).$

Assume that $\mathcal{F}I(F) = \mathcal{F}I(C)$, with C smooth and $C \neq F$.

Kuribayashi and Komiya proved that if a plane quartic has 12 hyperflex lines, then it is isomorphic either to F,

Up to scalars, $\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4$ is the unique polynomial of degree 4 vanishing on $\mathcal{F}I(F)$. Thus $Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)) \subseteq Aut(F)$ and hence

 $Aut(F) = Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)).$

Assume that $\mathcal{F}I(F) = \mathcal{F}I(C)$, with C smooth and $C \neq F$.

Kuribayashi and Komiya proved that if a plane quartic has 12 hyperflex lines, then it is isomorphic either to F, or to

$$K: x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = -3(x^2y^2 + x^2z^2 + y^2z^2).$$

 $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{GBI}}^{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{Salvador}}^{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{July}}^{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb$

Up to scalars, $\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4$ is the unique polynomial of degree 4 vanishing on $\mathcal{F}I(F)$. Thus $Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)) \subseteq Aut(F)$ and hence

 $Aut(F) = Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)).$

Assume that $\mathcal{F}I(F) = \mathcal{F}I(C)$, with C smooth and $C \neq F$.

Kuribayashi and Komiya proved that if a plane quartic has 12 hyperflex lines, then it is isomorphic either to F, or to

$$K: x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = -3(x^2y^2 + x^2z^2 + y^2z^2).$$

If $C = F^{\sigma}$, with $\sigma \in PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$, then we get the contradiction

 $\sigma \in Aut(\mathcal{F}l(F)) \setminus Aut(F).$

 $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{GBI}}^{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{Salvador}}^{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{July}}^{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb$

If $C = K^{\sigma}$, with $\sigma \in PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$, then



If $C = K^{\sigma}$, with $\sigma \in PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\mathcal{F}I(F) = \mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(K)^{\sigma}$$

If
$$C = K^{\sigma}$$
, with $\sigma \in PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$, then
 $\mathcal{F}I(F) = \mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(K)^{\sigma}$

and hence

$$Aut(K) \hookrightarrow Aut(\mathcal{F}I(K)) \simeq Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)) \simeq Aut(F)$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \mathbf{EGBI} \\ - \\ \mathbf{SALVADOR} \\ - \\ \mathbf{JULY} \\ \mathbf{2012} \\ \mathbf{2012} \\ \mathbf{15} \\ \mathbf{15} \end{array}$

If
$$C = K^{\sigma}$$
, with $\sigma \in PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$, then
 $\mathcal{F}I(F) = \mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(K)^{\sigma}$

and hence

$$Aut(K) \hookrightarrow Aut(\mathcal{F}I(K)) \simeq Aut(\mathcal{F}I(F)) \simeq Aut(F)$$

and one can prove that it is not possible.



 $\stackrel{\bullet}{=} \stackrel{\bullet}{\operatorname{EGBI}} \stackrel{\bullet}{=} \stackrel{\bullet}{\operatorname{Salvador}} \stackrel{\bullet}{=} \stackrel{\bullet}{\operatorname{July}} \stackrel{\bullet}{2012} \stackrel{\curvearrowleft}{=} \stackrel{\circ}{8} \stackrel{\circ}{}_{15} \stackrel{$

• Consider $\mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$



• Consider $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$ and its resolution

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \colon \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \longrightarrow Sym^{24}({\mathbb{P}^2}^{\vee})$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ is the closure of the graph of \mathcal{F} inside $\mathbb{P}^{14} \times Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$.

• Consider $\mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$ and its resolution

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}:\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}\longrightarrow Sym^{24}({\mathbb{P}^2}^\vee)$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ is the closure of the graph of \mathcal{F} inside $\mathbb{P}^{14} \times Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$.

• To recover the general plane quartic, it is enough to show that



• Consider $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$ and its resolution

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}:\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}\longrightarrow Sym^{24}({\mathbb{P}^2}^\vee)$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ is the closure of the graph of \mathcal{F} inside $\mathbb{P}^{14} \times Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$.

To recover the general plane quartic, it is enough to show that
 (1) the fiber of \$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\$ over \$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}([F])\$ consists of \$\{[F]\}\$;

• Consider $\mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$ and its resolution

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}:\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}\longrightarrow Sym^{24}({\mathbb{P}^2}^\vee)$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ is the closure of the graph of \mathcal{F} inside $\mathbb{P}^{14} \times Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$.

EGBI - SALVADOR - JULY 2012

To recover the general plane quartic, it is enough to show that
(1) the fiber of \$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\$ over \$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}([F])\$ consists of \$\{[F]\}\$;
(2) \$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\$ is étale at the point \$\[F\]\$ representing Fermat quartic.

• Consider $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{P}^{14} \dashrightarrow Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$ and its resolution

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}:\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}\longrightarrow Sym^{24}({\mathbb{P}^2}^\vee)$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ is the closure of the graph of \mathcal{F} inside $\mathbb{P}^{14} \times Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$.

EGBI - SALVADOR - JULY 2012

- To recover the general plane quartic, it is enough to show that
 (1) the fiber of \$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\$ over \$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}([F])\$ consists of \$\{[F]\}\$;
 (2) \$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\$ is étale at the point \$\[[F]\$] representing Fermat quartic.
- We do not know if any one of (1) and (2) is true !

 $\stackrel{\bullet}{=}$ EGBI – SALVADOR – JULY $\frac{1}{2012}$ $\stackrel{\checkmark}{=}$ $\frac{9}{15}$

• Vermeulen classified the quartics with a given number of hyperflexes.

• Vermeulen classified the quartics with a given number of hyperflexes. If a smooth plane quartics has exactly 8 hyperflexes, then it is isomorphic either to

$$V: 4(x^4 + y^4) + 24\sqrt{-7}x^2y^2 + 12(1 - \sqrt{-7})xyz^2 - \frac{7 - 3\sqrt{-7}}{2}z^4 = 0$$

EGBI – Salvador – July 2012 9/

• Vermeulen classified the quartics with a given number of hyperflexes. If a smooth plane quartics has exactly 8 hyperflexes, then it is isomorphic either to

$$V: 4(x^4 + y^4) + 24\sqrt{-7}x^2y^2 + 12(1 - \sqrt{-7})xyz^2 - \frac{7 - 3\sqrt{-7}}{2}z^4 = 0$$

or to a smooth curve of the one parameter family

$$V_t: (t^2+1)(x^2-yz)^2 = yz(2x-y-z)(2tx-y-t^2z)$$

EGBI - SALVADOR - JULY 2012

• Vermeulen classified the quartics with a given number of hyperflexes. If a smooth plane quartics has exactly 8 hyperflexes, then it is isomorphic either to

$$V: 4(x^4 + y^4) + 24\sqrt{-7}x^2y^2 + 12(1 - \sqrt{-7})xyz^2 - \frac{7 - 3\sqrt{-7}}{2}z^4 = 0$$

or to a smooth curve of the one parameter family

$$V_t: (t^2+1)(x^2-yz)^2 = yz(2x-y-z)(2tx-y-t^2z)$$

EGBI - SALVADOR - JULY 2012

PROPOSITION

If $\mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(V)$, for some smooth plane quartic C, then C = V.

(1) Claim: the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}([V])$ consists of $\{[V]\}$.



(1) Claim: the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}([V])$ consists of $\{[V]\}$. For every $\Sigma \in Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$, define



(1) Claim: the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}([V])$ consists of $\{[V]\}$. For every $\Sigma \in Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$, define

$$\mu_0(\Sigma) := \max_{p \in \mathbb{P}^2} \sum_{p \in L} mult_L(\Sigma)$$

(1) Claim: the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}([V])$ consists of $\{[V]\}$. For every $\Sigma \in Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$, define

$$\mu_0(\Sigma) := \max_{p \in \mathbb{P}^2} \sum_{p \in L} mult_L(\Sigma)$$

$$\mu_1(\Sigma) := \max_{L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}}} mult_L(\Sigma)$$

(1) Claim: the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}([V])$ consists of $\{[V]\}$. For every $\Sigma \in Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$, define

$$\mu_0(\Sigma) := \max_{p \in \mathbb{P}^2} \sum_{p \in L} mult_L(\Sigma)$$

$$\mu_1(\Sigma) := \max_{L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}}} mult_L(\Sigma)$$

$$\mu_{con}(\Sigma) := \max_{\substack{C \text{ conic}}} \sum_{\substack{L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}} \\ \#(L \cap C) = 1}} mult_L(\Sigma)$$

 $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ EGBI – Salvador – July 2012 $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ 10 /

(1) Claim: the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}([V])$ consists of $\{[V]\}$. For every $\Sigma \in Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$, define

$$\mu_0(\Sigma) := \max_{p \in \mathbb{P}^2} \sum_{p \in L} mult_L(\Sigma)$$

$$\mu_1(\Sigma) := \max_{L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}}} mult_L(\Sigma)$$

$$\mu_{con}(\Sigma) := \max_{\substack{C \text{ conic} \\ \#(L \cap C) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}} \\ \#(L \cap C) = 1}} mult_L(\Sigma)$$

EGBT - SALVADOR - JULY 2012 10/

LEMMA

We have $\mu_0(\mathcal{F}I(V)) = 4$, $\mu_1(\mathcal{F}I(V)) = 2$ and $\mu_{con}(\mathcal{F}I(V)) < 24$.



• We need to study degenerations of flex lines to singular quartics.

LEMMA

Let $(\Sigma, C) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$, where C is a singular quartic.

• We need to study degenerations of flex lines to singular quartics.

LEMMA

Let $(\Sigma, C) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$, where C is a singular quartic. (1) If C is reduced with at most double points, then $\mu_1(\Sigma) \geq 3$;



• We need to study degenerations of flex lines to singular quartics.

LEMMA

Let $(\Sigma, C) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$, where C is a singular quartic. (1) If C is reduced with at most double points, then $\mu_1(\Sigma) \ge 3$; (2) If C is reduced with a triple point, then $\mu_0(\Sigma) \ge 5$;



• We need to study degenerations of flex lines to singular quartics.

LEMMA

Let $(\Sigma, C) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$, where C is a singular quartic. (1) If C is reduced with at most double points, then $\mu_1(\Sigma) \ge 3$; (2) If C is reduced with a triple point, then $\mu_0(\Sigma) \ge 5$; (3) if C is an irreducible double conic, then $\mu_{con}(\Sigma) = 24$.



• We need to study degenerations of flex lines to singular quartics.

LEMMA

Let $(\Sigma, C) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$, where C is a singular quartic. (1) If C is reduced with at most double points, then $\mu_1(\Sigma) \ge 3$; (2) If C is reduced with a triple point, then $\mu_0(\Sigma) \ge 5$; (3) if C is an irreducible double conic, then $\mu_{con}(\Sigma) = 24$. (4) if C is non-reduced and contains a linear component, then either $\mu_0(\Sigma) \ge 5$, or $\mu_1(\Sigma) \ge 3$.

EGBI - SALVADOR - JULY 2012

• We need to study degenerations of flex lines to singular quartics.

LEMMA

Let $(\Sigma, C) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$, where C is a singular quartic. (1) If C is reduced with at most double points, then $\mu_1(\Sigma) \ge 3$; (2) If C is reduced with a triple point, then $\mu_0(\Sigma) \ge 5$; (3) if C is an irreducible double conic, then $\mu_{con}(\Sigma) = 24$. (4) if C is non-reduced and contains a linear component, then either $\mu_0(\Sigma) \ge 5$, or $\mu_1(\Sigma) \ge 3$.

EGBI – SALVADOR – JULY 2012

PROPOSITION

The fiber of
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$$
 over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}([V])$ consists of $\{[V]\}$.



(2) Claim: $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is étale at the point [V].



(2) Claim: $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is étale at the point [V]. If [C] is contained in the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}([V])$ and C is smooth, then

 $\mathcal{F}I(C) = \mathcal{F}I(V).$



(2) Claim: $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is étale at the point [V]. If [C] is contained in the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}([V])$ and C is smooth, then

$$\mathcal{F}I(C)=\mathcal{F}I(V).$$

For a plane line L, define

 $\mathcal{V}_L^{flex} := \{ [C] \in \mathbb{P}^{14} : C \text{ is smooth and } L \cdot C = 3p + q \}$ $\mathcal{V}_L^{hflex} := \{ [C] \in \mathbb{P}^{14} : C \text{ is smooth and } L \cdot C = 4p \}$

EGBI – SALVADOR – JULY 2012 12/12



LEMMA

If $L \cdot C = 3p + q$, with $p \neq q$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{[C]}\mathcal{V}_{L}^{flex}\simeq H^{0}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(4)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(-2p)).$$



LEMMA

If $L \cdot C = 3p + q$, with $p \neq q$, then $T_{[C]} \mathcal{V}_{L}^{flex} \simeq H^{0}(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2p)).$ If $L \cdot C = 4p$, then $T_{[C]} \mathcal{V}_{L}^{hflex} = H^{0}(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-3p)).$

 $\stackrel{4}{=}$ EGBI – Salvador – July 2012 13 /

LEMMA

If $L \cdot C = 3p + q$, with $p \neq q$, then $T_{[C]} \mathcal{V}_{L}^{flex} \simeq H^{0}(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2p)).$ If $L \cdot C = 4p$, then $T_{[C]} \mathcal{V}_{L}^{hflex} = H^{0}(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-3p)).$

 \mathbf{EGBI} SALVADOR – JULY 2012 13/

PROPOSITION

The morphism
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \colon \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \to Sym^{24}(\mathbb{P}^{2^{\vee}})$$
 is étale at [V].



THEOREM

The morphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}: \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \longrightarrow Sym^{24}({\mathbb{P}^2}^{\vee})$$

sending a smooth plane quartic to its configuration of flex lines is generically injective,



THEOREM

The morphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}: \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \longrightarrow Sym^{24}({\mathbb{P}^2}^{\vee})$$

sending a smooth plane quartic to its configuration of flex lines is generically injective, i.e. the general smooth plane quartic is uniquely determined by its configuration of flex lines.

- L. Caporaso, E. Sernesi. *Recovering plane curves from their bitangents*. Journal of Algebraic Geometry **12**, 2003, 225–244.
- A. Kuribayashi and K. Komiya, *On Weierstrass points of non-hyperelliptic compact Riemann surfaces of genus three.* Hiroshima Math. J. Volume 7, Number 3 (1977), 743-768.
- D. Lehavi, Any smooth plane quartic can be reconstructed from its bitangents. Israel J. of Math. **146**, 2005, 371–379.
- M. Pacini and D. Testa, *Recovering plane curves of low degree from their inflection lines and inflection points.* To appear in Israel J. Math.

• A. M. Vermeulen. *Weierstrass points of weight two on curves of genus three*. PhD thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam, 1983.